

## Pointers for Self-evaluation of Manuscript by Author(s)

*Note: the following points are also criteria used by T-EMC reviewers in reviewing a manuscript.*

### • Relevance

- Is the problem addressed in your manuscript within the scope of T-EMC?  
*T-EMC is a leading journal primarily focused on topics directly related to EMC. A paper outside its scope will be rejected immediately without going through the peer review process.*

### • Novelty

- Have you clearly stated the novelty of your work?
- Have you provided sufficient evidence of the novelty?
- Have you compared your work directly with key prior works in relevant EMC domains?
- Have you clearly presented your technical contributions?

### • Technical Soundness

- Have you ensured that the methodology and approaches have no flaws?
- Have you thoroughly verified your work by measurements, simulations or both?
- Have you cited your previous papers related to the current work?
- Have you adequately discussed any limitation in your measurement, simulation and methodology?

### • Presentation Quality

- Is your paper clear on what was done and why?
- Are figures, tables, pictures, and references clearly presented?  
*Please refer to the IEEE Journal template and guidelines at the IEEE Author Center (<https://ieeauthorcenter.ieee.org/>)*
- Is the clarity as well as the usage of English language acceptable?  
*You may ask a non-author and/or a native English speaker to read your manuscript and give constructive feedback.*